

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,244	12/06/2001		Robert Sixto JR.	SYN-064 A 5798		
24131	7590	04/15/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
		ENBERG, PA	PANTUCK, BRADFORD C			
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3731		

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e én .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/010,244	SIXTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradford C Pantuck	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> .							
7							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12,26,27,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>February 17, 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTHER TO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·	ر - ا						
Attachment(s)	1) Intensions Com	u (PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal 6) Other:	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 13-25 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper No. 02-17-2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144)

See MPEP § 821.01.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on February 17, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-11, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,037,021 to Mills et al. Regarding Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6, Mills' method includes grasping the region of tissue from an interior surface of the stomach, and configuring the region of tissue to create at least one fold of tissue. As described in Column 6, lines 14-41, the clip (209) inserted by Mills' clip applier (200) manipulates the tissue (216) into a fold and holds the invaginated section after being inserted. The clip is locked in place [Column 6, lines 31-33] and will not let the stomach resume its pre-folded condition, because of the way that the staple *pierces* and encompasses the fold of tissue [see Figures 5a-5c].

Art Unit: 3731

The clip has two arms and a bridge coupling the two arms, as shown in Attachment #2. The clip (209) slides over the body tissue (216) when the body tissue is sucked into the chamber (and into the clip), as shown in Figure 5a, and described in Column 6, lines 20-22. As the tissue is sucked into the clip, it will *contact* Arm A [as shown in Fig. 5a], and presumably the clip and the tissue will *slide relative to each other*. As shown in Figure 5C, body tissue is located between the two arms. The clip applies a compressive force to the body tissue [Column 6, lines 30-34]. The clip applier bends both of the arms, and one of them is bent through the whole thickness of the *both portions of tissue* [see progression from Figure 5a to 5c]. In Column 6, lines 22-28, Mills says that the remaining sections of the clip [i.e. Arm A and the bridge] are *deformed* (synonym for *bent*) during the application of the clip to the body tissue. Figure 5c shows Arm B being bent through the thickness of the body tissue. Thus both arms are bent during the application of the clip.

Mills discloses that his invention is intended to be used for "the attachment of materials or objects" to the *interior of the stomach* [Column 1, lines 44-45, 47-49].

4. Regarding Claim 9, Mills discloses compressing and clamping first and second portions of tissue (216) into contact with each other [see Fig. 5a] prior to sliding the clip and tissue sliding relative to each other. In Column 6, lines 9-10 Mills explains that prior to use the cavity is preloaded with the clip. Using logic, before the tissue can fit through the opening in the clipping device's cavity (202) it must already be in a folded condition.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

5. Regarding Claims 10, 11, and 30, the sliding and the bending are accomplished by using a single instrument. Column 6, lines 33-37 explain that the clip applier may contain a single clip or multiple clips. Further, only one [single] machine is used to carry out this process.

- 6. Regarding Claim 27, Mills discloses bending the piercing portion (in yellow see Attachment #2) at acute angle Φ relative to the *longitudinal axis of the tissue from* which the fold was made. A portion of the piercing portion is also bent at an acute angle Θ relative to the *longitudinal axis of the fold* (Attachment #2).
- 7. Regarding Claim 29, the clip applies *some force* to the fold, in the configuration shown in Fig. 5a, previous to being bent and pierced through the tissue. For example the clip will apply at least the force of its weight (mass x gravity) on the fold. Said clip does not exert a *compressive force* on the fold before:

"subsequently bending the piercing portion of at least one of the two arms through the first portion of the fold and at least partially through the second portion of the fold."

8. Claims 1, 3, 4, 12, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,582,611 to Tsuruta et al. Regarding Claim 1, 3, 4, and 26, the clip (22) has two arms and a bridge coupling the arms, as shown in Figure 61. The arms are the parallel parts and the bridge is the perpendicular portion connecting the arms [see Figures 42 and 43]. Body tissue is located between the two arms, such that the clip applies force to the body tissue. *Each arm is bent through the* 

Art Unit: 3731

entire thickness of the body tissue, such that the tips of the two arms contact each other [see progression from Figure 42B to Figure 42C].

9. Regarding Claim 12, the clip connects two separate pieces of tissue. "Tissue a" is connected to "tissue b." There is a gap between the two tissues, therefore they are considered to be separate, i.e. disunited or withdrawn from each other [Fig. 42A; Column 18, lines 5-10].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,037,021 to Mills et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,571,116 to Bolanos et al.

- 10. Regarding Claim 7, Mills discloses manipulating the region of tissue to create at least two adjacent folds of tissue [Column 6, lines 30-38]. Assumedly, one embodiment of Mills' device contains at least two staples so that they can be applied to folds of tissue close to the location of the first clip.
- 11. Regarding Claim 8, Mills discloses grabbing the interior of the stomach with a grasping instrument and pulling on the interior of the stomach to cause invagination of the interior of the stomach. Using suction, the tissue is *pulled* into the cavity (202)

in the clip applier [Column 6, lines 7-9; Fig. 5a]. During the clipping process, the interior of the stomach is held in the cavity of the grasping instrument *both by the vacuum and by the incidental contact between the tissue and the grasping instrument*. Further, Mills discloses holding the tissue mechanically [grabbing it], with piston 205 [see Fig. 1b].

With regards to Claims 7 and 8, Mills does not disclose that his procedure is done specifically in the part of the stomach called the *fundus*. However, Bolanos teaches that one would bend clips having two arms and a bridge completely through a fold of the fundus in the stomach *in order to help alleviate gastroesophageal reflux disease*. Mills teaches that in order to treat this disease effectively, one ought to attach the patient's lower esophagus to the patient's fundus [Column 2, lines 55-62]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform the surgery disclosed by Mills to attach the lower esophagus to the fundus in order to alleviate gastroesophageal reflux disease in a patient, as taught by Bolanos.

### Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

13. Regarding Amended Claim 1, Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2004 with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,037,021 to Mills have been fully considered but they are

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant *in the claimed order*. Figure 5a. shows that the clip has been advanced over the body tissue. From the progression from Fig. 5a to Fig. 5b., it is evident that the a piercing portion of clip (209) *bends at the junction (205)* prior to the piercing portion being put through the tissue fold, but after the clip has been advanced over the body tissue. Applicant has not claimed any structure regarding what the piercing portion looks like, so the piercing portion can include the two segments on the right side of the clip [see Attachment #2]. Applicant is correct in saying that the clip also bends when it contacts the anvil after being put through the tissue fold.

- 14. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7 and 8 of Amendment filed February 13, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1, 3, and 4 under Bolanos have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

  However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Tsuruta et al.
- 15. Regarding the rejection of amended Claim 1 under Tsuruta, Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2004 (see pages 8 and 9) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Tsuruta discloses the steps claimed by Applicant *in the claimed order*. The limitation of "advancing a clip over body tissue" is considered to be quite broad. If one moves a pencil over the top of a table, one is advancing the pencil over the table, regardless of whether one is moving the pencil towards the table, away from the table, or parallel to the surface of the table. The point is that *the pencil is over the table* and one is advancing it, that is, moving it *in some direction*.

Art Unit: 3731

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (703) 305-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Milano

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

 $p \sim_{I}$  BCP

April 12, 2004